## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

THE DORIS BEHR 2012 IRREVOCABLE TRUST, *Plaintiff*,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON,

Defendant,

and

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES'
RETIREMENT SYSTEM and COLORADO
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT
ASSOCIATION,

Intervenors-Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-cv-08828 (MAS) (LHG)

Response to Court's Order to Show Cause Why This Case Should Not Be Stayed

Intervenors California Public Employees' Retirement System and Colorado Public Employees' Retirement Association agree with Plaintiff, The Doris Behr 2012 Irrevocable Trust (the "Trust"), that it may be prudent for the Court to stay this case pending the Delaware Supreme Court's disposition of *Salzberg v. Sciabacucchi*, No. 346, 2019, which concerns the interpretation of a Delaware statute similar to the New Jersey statute at issue here. *See Sciabacucchi v. Salzberg*, 2018 WL 6719718 (Del. Ch. Dec. 19, 2018) (holding that a forum-selection bylaw could not govern federal securities claims because these claims are not matters of a corporation's internal affairs). If the Delaware Supreme Court affirms the Chancery Court, it will buttress the New Jersey Attorney General's authoritative opinion, based on ample independent support in New Jersey law, that the Trust's proposal is illegal and thus excludable.

Notably, however, as set forth in the Intervenors' and Defendant's motions to dismiss, there are ample grounds for dismissing this case regardless of the outcome of *Salzberg*—grounds that are

based on the text of the relevant New Jersey statutes and longstanding New Jersey precedent. See N.J.S.A. § 14A:2-9(4); Lambert v. Fishermen's Dock Co-op., Inc., 61 N.J. 596, 600 (1972). It has long been the rule in New Jersey that only matters concerning a corporation's internal affairs, subject to enforcement by the state under its corporate law, may be included in corporate bylaws. This proposition is well supported by centuries of law governing corporations and has been endorsed by courts, including the New Jersey Supreme Court, as well as by the New Jersey Attorney General and scores of leading corporate-law scholars. See Motion to Dismiss of CalPERS and Colorado PERA ("CalPERS MTD"), Dkt. No. 24, at 10–11; Jacob Hale Russell et al., Mandatory Securities Arbitration's Impermissibility Under State Corporate Law: An Analysis of the Johnson & Johnson Shareholder Proposal (Stanford Rock Ctr. For Corp. Governance Working Paper No. 237, 2019).

The only remaining issue for the Court to decide is whether the Federal Arbitration Act (the "FAA") preempts New Jersey's state corporate law—a question that does not turn in any way on the Delaware Supreme Court's decision in *Salzberg* and concerning which there is no legal basis for the Trust's position. The FAA does not apply to corporate bylaws. The Trust claims that bylaws are subject to the FAA because they are occasionally treated like "contracts" for purposes of corporate law. But it has no answer to the binding Third Circuit precedent, in this precise context, holding that bylaws lack the manifestation of mutual assent needed to form a true contract and that arbitration clauses in bylaws are, therefore, unenforceable. *See Kirleis v. Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote, P.C.*, 560 F.3d 156, 162–63 (3d Cir. 2009). This Court need go no further to dismiss this case.

For these reasons, the Intervenors agree that it may be prudent for the Court to stay this case pending the Delaware Supreme Court's disposition of *Salzberg v. Sciabacucchi*, and also note that the pending Motions to Dismiss provide independent grounds for dismissing this action regardless of the outcome of *Salzberg*.

MARC I. GROSS JEREMY A. LIEBERMAN JENNIFER PAFITI MICHAEL GRUNFELD Pomerantz LLP 600 Third Avenue New York, NY 10016 (212) 661-1100

SALVATORE J. GRAZIANO HANNAH ROSS JAI K. CHANDRASEKHAR Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 (212) 554-1400

January 3, 2020

## Respectfully submitted,

/s/James E. Cecchi

JAMES E. CECCHI LINDSEY H. TAYLOR Carella, Byrne, Cecchi, Olstein, Brody & Agnello, P.C. 5 Becker Farm Road Roseland, NJ 07068 (973) 994-1700

DEEPAK GUPTA (pro hac vice) MATTHEW WESSLER Gupta Wessler PLLC 1900 L Street, NW, Suite 312 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 888-1741

Counsel for Intervenors California Public Employees' Retirement System and Colorado Public Employees' Retirement Association

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on January 3, 2020, I served this document via CM/ECF upon:

Walter Stephen Zimolong Zimolong LLC PO Box 552 Suite 1210 Villanova, PA 19085 215-665-0842 (phone) 215-689-3404 (fax) wally@zimolonglaw.com

Counsel for the Plaintiff

Justin Taylor Quinn Robinson Miller LLC One Newark Center 19th Floor Newark, NJ 07102 973-690-5400 (phone) jquinn@rwmlegal.com

Andrew Muscato Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP Four Times Square New York, New York 10036 (212) 735-3000 (phone) (212) 735-2000 (fax) andrew.muscato@skadden.com

Counsel for the Defendant

/s/James E. Cecchi

James E. Cecchi

Counsel for Intervenors California Public Employees' Retirement System and Colorado Public Employees' Retirement Association